

what, we found out this treatment was not safe so we're removing it from something we'll provide coverage for, you find out something is a brand new treatment that works, we add that, well, you've changed your benefits. And it says here you can't change your benefits if you're going to keep it. And if you change the copay, if you change the deductible, if you change the price of the policy, bad news. Under number two, you lose your policy and you get kicked over under the Federal insurance exchange program.

Now, I was intrigued today to hear one of our Democratic friends there at the White House summit give a wonderful example about the Federal insurance exchange program. He gave this example or something like this. I was listening to two or three things at the same time, I had hearings and meetings and things going on. But as I understood it, he said, "Well, like when I want to go look for a flight or make travel arrangements, I will go onto Orbitz or Expedia or something like that. Well, that's all this Federal insurance program is. You know, it helps you find the best policy."

Well, that is a wonderful point. I have been trying to find where the government owns Orbitz and Expedia. I can't find that they own those programs. The best I can determine, whether it's Travelocity, Orbitz, Expedia, whatever, I can't find the government owns any of those. I can't find that it is a Federal Orbitz, a Federal Expedia, Travelocity, whatever it is. I can't find that. Apparently, these are private companies. And apparently, from what he said, he likes what the private companies are doing.

Well, we want people in America to have choice. We want them to have the best choice. And I bet you if you asked Americans, and said, "We're thinking about creating a travel agency, and the government will make all your travel arrangements for you. You just contact our government office. We're going to give you an option to all the other airlines, all the other travel agencies. We're just going to let the government do that because we feel like you are owed a public option when you travel." I wonder how many people would ever go to the Federal option, because it is not competitive.

□ 2310

The Federal Government never has to compete. It can run in the red. They don't care. Their salaries are not dependent on how well the company does.

And so I also want to point out that if you look here at section 501, the title of section 501 is "Tax on Individuals Without Acceptable Health Care Coverage." "Tax on Individuals Without Acceptable Health Care Coverage." And this place is supposed to care about the little guys, the guys that are

out there working from dawn until dusk and some of them into the night to try to make enough money and then go to another job and moonlight to try to help the family, help the kids have what they need to get through school? And you're going to say, You know what? You make a little too much to be under the poverty line that will allow us to just give you free health insurance or health care, so under section 501, we're just going to have to tax you because you're not buying a Cadillac insurance policy.

But then again, we also know if you have a Cadillac insurance policy—which to me, Cadillacs are great cars. I used to have one before I ever came to Congress. I can't afford one now, but they were good cars. And, unfortunately, Cadillacs may not be what they used to be now that the government motors owns them or makes them.

But nonetheless, can you imagine the arrogance of a government that tells people, You're not buying as expensive of an insurance policy that I think you ought to have so I am going to tax you for it?

And in the summary, the President's plan points out—or the changes to the House and Senate bill says, in the summary, You know what? The medical device tax—what some of us referred to as the wheelchair tax. Of course, they initially stuck the medical device tax in there, and there was no threshold above which you had to be to pay an extra tax if you had the misfortune of needing a medical device. And so some began to refer to it as the tampon tax, because that meets the requirements of a medical device and it could be taxed. And the threshold of a hundred dollars is put in there.

So the President says, You know what? We may just create a whole new excise tax that everybody is going to have to pay. Sorry about that \$250,000 exclusion I told you about at one time, but you're still going to have to pay more taxes. This is chock full of this stuff. That is why most Americans do not want this bill.

And if you look, there are all kinds of, still, pot sweeteners for Senators or Representatives that were reluctant. They changed some of those, but the pot sweeteners were in there to try to get their vote. They don't help all Americans. They sweeten the pot only for those votes that they think they need to get it passed. That is not right. That is not good for all Americans. That's not consistent with the equal protection that is promised to all Americans under the Constitution. You ought to have equal opportunity, and they don't have it.

I appreciate so much the time as my friend has yielded.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. BISHOP of New York (at the request of Mr. HOYER) for today.

Mr. DENT (at the request of Mr. BOEHNER) for today after 3 p.m. and for the balance of the week on account of a death in the family.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. ETHERIDGE) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. ETHERIDGE, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. WOOLSEY, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. CUMMINGS, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. MCDERMOTT, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. DEFAZIO, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. KAPTUR, for 5 minutes, today.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. POE of Texas) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. POE of Texas, for 5 minutes, March 4.

Mr. JONES, for 5 minutes, March 4.

Mr. MORAN of Kansas, for 5 minutes, March 4.

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania, for 5 minutes, today.

BILL PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Lorraine C. Miller, Clerk of the House reports that on February 25, 2010 she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bill.

H.R. 4532. To provide for permanent extension of the attorney fee withholding procedures under title II of the Social Security Act to title XVI of such Act, and to provide for permanent extension of such procedures under titles II and XVI of such Act to qualified non-attorney representatives.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. KING of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 11 o'clock and 14 minutes p.m.), the House adjourned until tomorrow, Friday, February 26, 2010, at 9 a.m.

BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF PAYGO LEGISLATION

Pursuant to Public Law 111-139, Mr. SPRATT hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, the attached estimate of the costs of H.R. 4691, the Temporary Extension Act of 2010, for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.